Progress on Powering Options

Satish Dhawan, Adrian Au Yale University Richard Sumner, CMCAMAC LLC



SiD workshop, SLAC Jan 12 - 14, 2015

- Agenda
- 2014 Liverpool Test Results
 - Toroid vs Planar Coil
- Shielding Electrostatic & RF.
- ATLAS Tracker Upgrade Converters
- Need simple DAQ for Testing Converters

Planar Coil - "Up Close and Personal"

Double Trigger Noise (DTN)

With Toroid Converter

Reference measurement (CERN STV10 converter) @ 0.5fC



 CERN converter registers zero occupancy until 0.5fC, then registers 528/244 hits
 Above picture is Double trigger noise

i.e. after a hit ; spurious counts are registered

Comments inserted by Yale University

Noise in Electrons Measured @ Liverpool

cern stv10 noise 589, 604 average = 601 yale planar noise 587, 589 average = 588 noise with dc supplies (no dcdc) = 580 assuming the noise adds in quadrature, extract noise due to dcdc converter: cern stv10 Additional noise = 157 yale planar Additional noise = 96 Planar Converter uses the same components except Inductor coil

Thickness of stv = 8 mm vs 3mm for Planar

Shield to Silicon strips are Electrostatics & Eddy current Bottom side shield 2 mm from Planar coil traces Can be mounted on the sensor with 50 μ m Kapton Cooling via sensor

With Planar Converter

Approx ≤ 3 mm from wire bonds with improved reference @ 0.5fC

US ATLAS Moved towards Dc-Dc.



- For conducted noise configuration, Planar
 ____coil registers zero occupancy(even at 0.5fC)
- Only when close to asics are hits registered,
 3/2 counts at 0.5fC, see above



Toroid vs Planar Coil

Toroid Inductor with Shield on toroid height = 8 mm Embedded Spirals Disabled for the hand wound coil Height = 2 mm plus shield





Lower Mutual Coupling if turns are further apart but adds to DC Resistance



Radiation Length Comparison Toroid vs Planar

| | Cu wire | | | | | | Rad Length % |
|--------------------------------|---------|-------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| | L | wire length | milliohms | wire dia mm | vol cubic mm | Mass, grams | Avrg 100 cm2 |
| Cern toroidal coil | 413.000 | 341.632 | 32.455 | 0.480 | 128.727 | 1.150 | 0.09% |
| planar coil, same L, same R | 415.000 | 203.472 | 34.387 | 0.361 | 57.661 | 0.5151 | 0.04% |
| planar coil, same L, same mass | 415.000 | 203.472 | 8.546 | 0.723 | 115.482 | 1.0316 | 0.08% |
| planar coil, same R, same mass | 967.000 | 310.860 | 32.951 | 0.455 | 111.031 | 0.9918 | 0.08% |

This simple example compares a toroidal inductor and a planar inductor. The inductance is calculated using the simple formulas for a toroid and a solenoid found on the hyperphysics pages on the web. Just Google toroid inductance or solenoid inductance and choose the hyperphysics link

Noise Coupling from Dc-Dc to Readout









Thick 59 mils Thin 34 mils

Satish Dhawan Yale University May 18, 2013

Coil Manufacturer - Wurth Elektronik eiSos



Each Converter PCB 10 mm x 63 mm. Different Coil Configuration Channel D: Embedded Coil with 2 via: 687 nH, 83 m Ω Channel C: Embedded Coil with 1 via: 703 nH, 83 m Ω Channel B: External Coil: Wurth 540 nH* with short Leads Channel A: External Coil: Wurth 540 nH* with short Leads * With BK Precision LCR Meter

- DcDc Converter @ Yale
- Thickness of Converters Shield thickness!
- Detector + Readout @ SLAC Liverpool for ATLAS Strip Upgrade
- DAQ: RAL, Liverpool, BNL HSIO, SLAC
- Very difficult to use & NOT portable without the experts.

We need a simple to use DAQ. Is it possible ?

- ✤ Lower Mass @ 5 MHZ
- Topology Change Charge pump, Buck or something else?
- GaN Power switches have lower losses but the Driver is an issue

The END

Backup Slides

The coil dimensions are approximately those of the toroid used in the feast DC DC converter (coil radius 1.7 mm, toroid radius 4.5 mm). The formulas are for circular coils so I used the average toroid radius. For the planar coil I used the approximate dimensions of our latest oval coil made by Wurth, again using the average coil radius. The dimensions are adjusted to give a coil with the same inductance as the toroid, about 400 nano Henry. I calculated the approximate length of wire needed in both cases. The toroid wire has a diameter of 0.48 mm.

In the first example I adjusted the wire size of the planar coil to give the same DC resistance as the toroid. Then the total mass of the copper wire in the planar coil is less than half of the mass of the copper in the toroid coil.

In the second example I adjusted the wire size of the planar coil so the mass of copper is the same as in the toroid, the DC resistance of the planar coil is about 25% of the toroid coil. For the same load current this will reduce the the power loss of the planar coil to about 25% of the loss in the toroid coil. For large load currents, this will substantially improve the overall efficiency.

In the third example, I adjusted the number of turns and wire size to get about the same mass of copper and the same resistance. The result is about twice the inductance. This reduces the ripple current to half. But the turns have increased from 6 to 9, so the ripple magnetic field (the EMI) is reduced to about 75% of the field in the first two examples.

Readout ASICs

We have different shield for top & bottom